Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PENDLETON DIVISION OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,) Civil No. Plaintiffs,) 2:22-cv-01815-IM) (Lead Case) v. TINA KOTEK, et al.,) Civil No.) 3:22-cv-01859-IM Defendants.) (Trailing Case)) Civil No. (Continued)) 3:22-cv-01862-IM) (Trailing Case)) Civil No.) 3:22-cv-01869-IM (Trailing Case) * VIDEOCONFERENCE * DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF EXPERT LUCY P. ALLEN Witness located in: New York, New York * All participants appeared via videoconference * DATE TAKEN: April 4, 2023 Tia B. Reidt, Washington RPR, CCR #2798 REPORTED BY:

		Page
	(continued)	
;	MARK FITZ, et al.,	
	Plaintiffs,) v.)	
	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)	
	Defendants.)	
)	
	KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,	
	Plaintiffs,)	
	v.)	
	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
	Defendants.)	
	DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,	
	Plaintiffs,) v.	
	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	
	Defendants.))	

i				
				Page 3
1			APPEARANCES	
2	For	the	Eyre Plaintiffs:	
3			SHAWN M. LINDSAY JURISLAW, LLP	
4			Three Centerpointe Drive, Suite 160	
5			Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (503) 968-1475 Shawn@jurislawyer.com	
6	For	the	Defendants:	
7			BRIAN S. MARSHALL	
8			OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TRIAL DIVISION	
9			SPECIAL LITIGATION UNIT	
10			100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201	
11			Brian.s.marshal@doj.state.or.us	
12				
13			* * * *	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
				ı

		Page 4
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE	
3	Mr. Lindsay 5	
4	Mr. Marshall 68	
5		
6	EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	EXHIBIT 66 Curriculum Vitae of Lucy P. Allen	
9		10
10	Support of Defendants'	10
11	Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion For Preliminary Injunction.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 33 it would perhaps be helpful in answering certain 1 That's what I would say. 2 questions. 3 0. Turning to your declaration, paragraph 6. Do you have that in front of you? 4 Yes. 5 Α. Q. In paragraph 6 of your declaration, you state, 6 open quote, "Plaintiffs claim that the large-capacity 7 8 magazines (magazines capable of holding more than ten) rounds; 'Large-Capacity Magazines' or 'LCMs') covered 9 10 by Oregon's Ballot Measure 114 ('Measure 114') are commonly used for lawful purposes, including for 11 self-defense. " And I underlined "commonly used." 12 In paragraph 7 of your declaration, you state, 13 open quote, "The number of rounds commonly needed by 14 individuals to defend themselves cannot be practically 15 or ethically determined with controlled scientific 16 17 experiments. And there is no source that systematically tracks or maintains data on the number 18 of rounds fired by individuals for self-defense." 19 In paragraph 7, you used "commonly used." [I'm] 20 In paragraph 6, you used "commonly used." In 21 paragraph 7, you used "commonly needed." 22 Please explain the difference between 23 24 "commonly used" and "commonly needed," as you used 25 those terms in your declaration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lucy P. Allen

Page 34

A. Well, one difference would be that someone might shoot 15 rounds, but the same outcome would have happened had they only shot eight rounds, for example. So they may have used 15 rounds, because they had access to 15 rounds, but the outcome would have been the same if they only had, you know, under ten rounds, for example.

So that would be an example where a large-capacity magazine might, in fact, have been used, but it wouldn't have been needed.

- Q. What if -- let's use the difference between "commonly owned" and "commonly needed." What's the difference between "commonly owned" and "commonly needed"?
- A. Well, owned is -- means something different than "used" or "needed."
- Q. And --
- 18 A. I mean, something that's owned could never be used and also never be needed.
 - Q. Correct. I guess -- I guess I meant to say I understand.

Why do you make the distinction in your declaration in paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 between "commonly used" and "commonly needed"?

A. Why do I use two different words there?

	Page 35
1	Q. Why do you distinct you used a distinction
2	in paragraph 6 and paragraph 7. Paragraph 7, you used
3	"commonly used for lawful purposes." Sorry.
4	Paragraph 6, you say that. And paragraph 7, you say
5	<pre>("commonly needed.")</pre>
6	And my question is: Why do you distinguish
7	between "commonly used" and "commonly needed" in your
8	declaration?
9	A. I'm not sure I distinguished. I used two
0	different words, and you asked me what the difference
1	was in the meaning. [I am using two different words]
12	there. [I'm not particularly distinguishing between
3	them, I don't think, in my declaration.
4	Q. Why is it important to know how many
15	ammunition rounds are needed by people to defend
6	themselves?
17	A. That's, I think, a public policy question.
8	It's my understanding and I have read some now
9	you're asking me a legal or a policy question.
20	But I think if it doesn't if large-capacity
21	magazines are not actually needed, then from a public
22	policy standpoint, if the outcomes are the same
23	regardless of what you have, then it's hard to argue
24	that it's important for self-defense or it's
25	<pre>important it's important to outcomes.</pre>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lucy P. Allen

Page	36
------	----

If the outcomes are the same and you could just as well -- it doesn't matter whether you have it. You have no need for it. Then I think, from a public policy standpoint, the supposed good outcomes from having large-capacity magazines are the same. Then that would argue there isn't need for them.

- Q. Firearms and magazines that accept more than ten rounds are commonly owned by Americans; correct?
- A. I don't -- I've not been asked to analyze that, nor do I have an opinion on that.
- Q. Would you think that chainsaws are commonly owned by Americans?
- A. I would have to know what you mean by "commonly owned," but I would say no.
- Q. I'm trying to understand what your understanding of "commonly owned" is. That's why I'm asking.

Let's try it this way:

Are vehicles commonly owned by Americans?

- A. I haven't come to any determination -- nor have I been asked to -- on whether something is commonly owned. So you're asking me about something that I don't have a professional opinion on, nor have I been asked to have one on that.
 - Q. I'm asking your personal opinion and your

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 37
1	understanding of the term "commonly owned."
2	In your personal opinion, are vehicles
3	commonly owned by Americans?
4	A. (I think the term "commonly owned" depends on
5	the context. I would agree that most people would say
6	that vehicles are commonly owned by Americans.
7	Q. And what would make them commonly owned?
8	A. I think there's a lot of you know, the US
9	is a pretty big state [sic]. There's a lot of open
<mark>10</mark>	territory, and a lot of people commute to work and use
11	cars. I think that's why they're commonly owned.
12	Q. If you knew that Americans owned more than 20
13	million magazines that accepted more than ten rounds,
14	would that, in your opinion, mean they're commonly
15	owned?
16	A. No. (It could be whatever.)
17	Q. What about 50 million?
18	A. No. (It depends on if whatever.) (If five
19	people own the 80 percent of them, then it wouldn't
20	be commonly owned. So it's not a number of the actual
21	number of something that's out there.
22	Q. Okay. Let's try percentage then.
23	If you knew that half of all magazines in
24 25	America could accept more than ten rounds of
<mark>25</mark>	imici ica could accept more than cen rounds or

	Page 38
1	ammunition, in your opinion, would that mean they're
2	commonly owned?
3	A. No. That wouldn't be enough to say that
4	unless you know the percent of the population that, in
5	fact, owns magazines at all.
6	Q. Well, that's my hypothetical. Half.
7	50 percent.
8	A. I thought you said I thought you said half
9	of the population owned that owned magazines had
<mark>10</mark>	more than ten rounds, that half of the magazines were
<mark>11</mark>	more than ten rounds.
<mark>12</mark>	Q. Let me rephrase, then, if that was not clear.
13	If you knew that half of all magazines in
<mark>14</mark>	America could accept more than ten rounds of
<mark>15</mark>	ammunition, would that mean they're commonly owned?
<mark>16</mark>	A. No. You could say that half of all ten-carat
<mark>17</mark>	diamonds are white. (It wouldn't mean that ten-carat)
<mark>18</mark>	diamonds are commonly owned. Right? That's not
19	Q. Okay.
20	(If you knew that half of all Americans owned)
21	magazines that could accept more than ten rounds of
22	ammunition, would that mean they're commonly owned?
23	A. I guess I would say that if half of the people
24	in the country do something, then I think you could say
25	it's common. Something that's done by half of the

	Page 39
1	people would I think one would argue is common.
2	Q. Do you agree or disagree that owning a firearm
3	for self-defense is lawful?
4	A. It seems like there are it would certainly
5	depend on the circumstances.
6	Q. Do you agree or disagree that large-capacity
7	magazines, as you define it in your declaration, are in
8	common use by Americans for self-defense?
9	A. I haven't seen any evidence that shows that,
<mark>10</mark>	but
<mark>11</mark>	Q. That shows that they're commonly owned?
<mark>12</mark>	A. Commonly used for self-defense.
13	Q. What about commonly owned?
<mark>14</mark>	A. I don't know. I don't know what the I have
<mark>15</mark>	not analyzed how what is the actual number of
<mark>16</mark>	large-capacity magazines or what percent of the
<mark>17</mark>	population uses them. And I don't know or owns
<mark>18</mark>	them.
19	MR. LINDSAY: We have been going for an
20	hour, Brian. I'm fine continuing.
21	Ms. Allen, I'm fine continuing unless you want
22	to take a break.
23	MR. MARSHALL: Can we ask the reporter as
24	well?
25	THE COURT REPORTER: I could use five

23

24

25

particular...

Page 57 owned and used in America? 1 I'm sorry. When you were reading, I think it 2 3 was -- I heard you say 65 percent. I think it's 64 percent. 4 Q. You're correct. Thank you. 64 percent. 5 Would you like me to repeat my question, or 6 did you -- are you in the process of answering that? 7 I think your question was: Given those two 8 9 sentences -- well, go ahead. You can repeat your 10 question. O. Considering your statement in paragraph 26 of 11 the percentages involving large-capacity magazines, my 12 question is: Could those numbers be because magazines 13 that accept more than ten rounds of ammunition are 14 commonly owned and used in America? 15 A. That would be contrary to what your expert, 16 17 Dr. Kleck, says. What Dr. Kleck says is that mass shooters use large-capacity magazines because they are 18 intending to inflict more lethality. So their desire 19 to kill more people is the reason that they choose 20 large-capacity magazines. And I wouldn't particularly 21 disagree with your expert, Dr. Kleck, on that

> BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

large-capacity magazines do involve more fatalities and

So the -- the mass shootings that involve

	Page 58
1	injuries than mass shootings when there is not a
2	large-capacity magazine involved.
3	Q. But my question is:
4	So in paragraph 26, you say that 64 percent
5	and 63 percent those are, in my opinion, higher
6	because they're above 50 percent they involve
7	large-capacity magazines. And my question is not about
8	Professor Kleck.
9	My question is could those numbers be your
<mark>10</mark>	numbers? Could your numbers be that amount because
<mark>11</mark>	magazines that accept more than ten rounds of
<mark>12</mark>	ammunition are commonly owned? Lots of people own
<mark>13</mark>	them?
<mark>14</mark>	MR. MARSHALL: Objection. (Asked and)
<mark>15</mark>	answered.
<mark>16</mark>	Go ahead.
<mark>17</mark>	THE WITNESS: (I don't think that gives any
<mark>18</mark>	evidence for that. I think, as your expert, Dr. Kleck,
<mark>19</mark>	says, it is that mass shooters can be purchasing
<mark>20</mark>	large-capacity magazines to because they desire to
<mark>21</mark>	inflict more harm, more deaths and injuries.
22	BY MR. LINDSAY:
23	Q. In paragraph 27 of your declaration, you
24	comment upon fatalities per mass shooting with ten-plus
25	magazines or ten or fewer.

25

A.

been asked to look at that.

Lucy P. Allen

	Page 64
1	shortly. I just have some follow-up questions here.
2	Ms. Allen, I really do appreciate you
3	explaining your opinions today and being patient with
4	me as I ask you questions.
5	I'm going to ask you questions about things
6	that you may or may not have any opinions on. And it
7	may be that other defense experts will discuss these
8	topics.
9	Do you have an opinion as to whether
10	Measure 114 prohibits the possession or use of weapons
11	that were common in common use at the time of the
<mark>12</mark>	2nd or 5th 14th Amendments were ratified?
13	A. I do not. I do not have
14	Q. Do you have an opinion
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
17	Measure 114, as part of a historical tradition,
18	delimits the outer bounds of the right to keep and bear
19	arms?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
22	Measure 114 addresses a general societal problem that
23	has persisted since the 18th century?

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

I have -- I don't have an opinion. I've not

Page 65
Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
Measure 114 is similar to historical regulation
addressing a societal problem that has persisted since
the 18th century?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any opinions as to how Measure 114
burdens a law-abiding citizen's right to armed
self-defense?
MR. MARSHALL: Objection. Calls for a
legal conclusion.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, unless
something in my declaration speaks to that.
BY MR. LINDSAY:
Q. And when you say you don't believe so, does
that mean you don't believe you have an opinion? Or do
you are you saying you don't believe that it
burdens?
A. Oh, I don't believe that I have an opinion
other than what is what might be reflected in my
declaration.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether firearms
with magazines that accept ten or more rounds of

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

A. I have not been asked to analyze that, no.

ammunition are in common use today?

that.

A.

Page 66
Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether firearms
with magazines that carry more than ten rounds of
ammunition are in common use for self-defense today?
A. Not specifically, no. I mean, some of the
analysis in my report that we have already discussed
could possibly touch on that, but I have not been asked
to, nor do I have a specific opinion on that, more
generally, as you've asked it.
Q. Do you agree that firearms with magazines that
accept more than ten rounds of ammunition are
purchased, kept, and used legally by millions of
Americans?
A. (I don't know.)
Q. Similar question.
Do you agree that millions of law-abiding
Americans own firearms with magazines with more than
ten rounds of ammunition?
A. (I don't know.)
Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether the
Supreme Court decision in Bruen was correctly decided?
A. I don't have a professional opinion about

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

the legal -- I do not understand how it makes sense

Q. Do you have a personal opinion about that?

It personally doesn't -- I don't understand

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Kotek, et al. (Consolidated)

Lucy P. Allen

	Page 72
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	
6	I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and
7	for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of the deposition of LUCY P. ALLEN,
9	having been duly sworn, on April 4, 2023, is true and
10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	Reading and signing was requested pursuant to FRCP Rule
12	30(e).
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	and seal this 10th day of April, 2023.
15	
16	
17	NOTCA
18	Signe Parties
19	
20	- Uld D. Keras
21	/S/ Tia B. Reidt Tia B. Reidt,
22	NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Washington.
23	My commission expires 5/15/2026.
24	3, 13, 2020.
25	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Exhibit 3 - Lindsay Decl. (Allen Depo.)